Case 3:10-cv-04228-JSW Document 9 Filed 09/22/11 Page 1 of 3 1 \*\*E-Filed 9/22/2011\*\* 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 DONG THANH VO, Case Number 5:10-cv-04228-JF (HRL) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S 13 MOTIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND FOR 14 v. APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL 15 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CLARA COUNTY, 16 Defendant. [re: dkt entries 2, 8] 17 18 Plaintiff requests leave to proceed in this action in forma pauperis and moves for an 19 appointment of counsel. 20 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, a district court may authorize the commencement of a civil 21 action in forma pauperis if the court is satisfied that the would-be plaintiff cannot pay the filing 22 fees necessary to pursue the action. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). The court may deny in forma 23 pauperis status, however, if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the action is 24 frivolous or without merit. O'Loughlin v. Doe, 920 F.2d 614, 616 (9th Cir. 1990); Tripati v. 25 First National Bank & Trust, 821 F.2d 1368, 1370 (9th Cir. 1987). 26 In exceptional circumstances, the Court has discretion to request counsel to provide pro 27 bono representation to a plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis. See Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 28 Case No. 5:10-cv-04228-JF (HRL)

Case No. 5:10-cv-04228-JF (HRL)
ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND FOR APPOINTMENT
OF COUNSEL
(JFLC2)

## Case 3:10-cv-04228-JSW Document 9 Filed 09/22/11 Page 2 of 3

1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991). A finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of (1) the likelihood that the plaintiff's claims will succeed on the merits and (2) the ability of the plaintiff to articulate [his her] claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. *See id*.

As presently drafted, the complaint appears to be without merit in that it fails to set forth a cognizable claim. Accordingly, Plaintiff's request for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and motion for appointment of counsel are DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 9/22/2011

JER VIY FOGEL Unt d States Di tret adge

	Case 3:10-cv-04228-JSW Do	cument 9	Filed 09/22/11	Page 3 of 3
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
11				
12	DONG THANH VO,		Case Number 5:10	0-cv-04228-JF (HRL)
13	Plaintiff,		CERTIFICATE O	F SERVICE
14	V.		CLKIII ICHIL O	1 SERVICE
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, S CLARA COUNTY,	SANTA		
16	Defendant.			
17				
<ul><li>18</li><li>19</li></ul>	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Clerk, United			
20	States District Court, Northern District of California.			
21	On Thursday, September 22, 2011, I served a true and correct copy of the attached document on each of the persons hereinafter listed by placing said copy in a postage paid envelope and depositing said envelope in the United States mail, or by placing said envelope in the outgoing mail delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's Office:			
22				
23	Dong Thanh Vo			
24	Post Office Box 24 San Jose, CA 95103			
25	DATED: 9/22/11	Foi	r the Court	
26		Ric	chard W. Weiking	, Clerk
27		By Sno	: /s/ ooki Puli	
28				
	Case No. 5:10-cv-04228-JF (HRL)	3		
	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL			

(JFLC2)